Index

Action plan, 197	operational plans, 199
Age	physical inventory of, 229
and place attachment, 128	socioeconomic characteristics,
and place management,	88, 155
130–131	spatial characteristics of, 84–85,
and place quality, 126, 127	86, 87, 88, 155, 233
and place use, 123, 124	BBC Radio 4, 23
Ahmedabad Municipal	"Bhagidari" scheme, 30
Corporation (AMC), 30	Bhubaneswar
Ahmedabad Urban Development	basic city planning units, 53–55
Authority (AUDA), 30	Bhubaneswar Development
	Authority (BDA), 39, 44,
Bangalore	52, 53, 58–61, 69, 77,
Bangalore Agenda Task Force	79, 81–84, 86, 155, 195,
(BATF), 30-31	218, 221
Master Plan-2015, 13	Bhubaneswar Development
Open Space in, 13	Planning Area (BDPA),
Baramunda	37, 51, 52, 55–61, 63,
neighborhood Open Space	218, 219, 221
place attachment, 157, 158,	Bhubaneswar Municipal
162	Corporation (BMC), 44,
place management, 157, 158,	53, 58, 59, 61, 65, 69,
163	70, 77, 79, 180, 195, 203
place quality, 157, 158	green areas, use of, 62
place use, 157, 158	growth pattern of, 51
neighborhood Parks	institutional arrangements, 53
level of participation, 118,	land ownership, 67-69
119, 120	land use
place attachment, 97, 98,	comparative analysis of,
116–117, 118	63-64
place management, 98, 99,	guidelines for, 3
113–114, 116–117, 118	patterns, 219
place quality, 97, 98	land use regulation, for Urban
place use, 97, 98, 113-114	Open Space

CDP-1993, 55–56, 58–61, 63, 218, 219, 221 CDP-2010, 37, 51, 52, 57–58, 61, 63, 218 Master Plan-1968 Open Space, 55 location of, 50 Open Space in, 49–70 Parks development and management of, institutional set up for,	Community participation, in city environment improvement, 29–30 Comprehensive Development Plan-1993 (CDP-1993), 55–56, 58–61, 63, 218, 219, 221 Comprehensive Development Plan-2010 (CDP-2010), 37, 51, 52, 57–58, 61, 63, 218
69–70 guidelines for, 61–62 use of, 62 planning area, 52 population and Urban Open Space, 64–66	Data collection, 44–45 Delhi "Bhagidari" scheme, 30 Master Plan-2021, 13 Open Space in, 13
dense neighborhood, 65 medium-density and low- density neighborhoods, 65–66 moderately dense neighbor- hood, 65 profile of, 49–62	Design plan, 192–197 Duration of stay and place attachment, 129, 130 and place management, 131, 133 and place quality, 127–128 and place use, 124, 125
spatial and socioeconomic characteristics, 49–53 status, of Urban Open Space, 58–61 as tier II city, 7 Chennai	Educational background and place attachment, 128–129 and place management, 131, 132 and place quality, 126, 127 and place use, 124–125 Environmental activity, 138, 143–144
Master Plan-2011, 13 Open Space in, 13 Structural Plan for MMA 1980, 13	Environmental behavior, 24–25 Exploratory factor analysis, 45–46, 71–76
Citizen interface management practice, cases of, 30–31 Commission for Architecture and the Built Environment (CABE), 23 Community-Based Land	Gender and place attachment, 128, 129 and place management, 131–132 and place quality, 126, 127 and place use, 124
Management (CBM), 26, 27, 33 Community-Based Organisation (CBO), 30	Green space definition of, 205 natural, 205–206 Greenwich project, 15

T	
Income	pattern
and place attachment, 129, 130	in BDA panning area, 218
and place management, 131, 132	in Bhubaneswar city, 219
and place quality, 126–127	regulation, for Urban Open Space
and place use, 124, 125	CDP-1993, 55–56, 58–61,
Institutional arrangements, 53	63, 218, 219, 221
Institutional reforms, 201–202	CDP-2010, 37, 51, 52,
Interview schedule, 210	57-58, 61, 63, 218
IRC Village	Master Plan-1968 Open
neighborhood Open Space	Space, 55
place attachment, 156, 158,	Legislative reforms, 202–203
161, 162	Level of participation, 7, 34
place management, 156, 158,	Baramunda, 118, 119, 120
163	IRC Village, 118, 119, 120
place quality, 156, 158, 161	Lingaraj Nagar, 117-118, 120
place use, 156, 158	measurement of, 43
neighborhood Parks	place parameters effect on,
level of participation, 118,	145–150, 176–177
119, 120, 158	in Public Open Space
place attachment, 94, 95, 116,	management, 28-29
118, 158	relationships and effects of, 184
place management, 94, 95,	relationship with neighborhood
112, 113, 114, 116, 118,	Open Space, 165–166
158	relationship with neighborhood
place quality, 94, 95, 158	Parks, 117–119
place use, 94, 95, 112, 113,	relationship with plan attach-
114, 158	ment, 189
operational plans, 199	relationship with plan use, 188,
physical inventory of, 229	191
socioeconomic characteristics,	Sahid Nagar, 118, 119, 120
87–88, 155	Saileshree Vihar, 118, 119, 120
spatial characteristics of, 80-83,	Unit IX, 118, 120
155, 232	Lingaraj Nagar
	Mula padia, 76
Jawaharlal Nehru National Urban	neighborhood Open Space
Renewal Mission	place attachment, 154, 156,
(JNURM), 30	158, 161, 162
	place management, 154, 156,
Knowledge, place-based, 28	158, 163
	place quality, 154, 156, 158,
Land ownership, 67–69	162
Land use	place use, 154, 156, 158
comparative analysis of,	neighborhood Parks
63-64	level of participation,
guidelines for, 3	117–118, 120

place attachment, 89, 90, 115, 118	socioeconomic characteristics of, 171–172
place management, 89, 90,	place management, socioeconomic
111, 112, 114, 115, 118	characteristics of, 172
place quality, 89, 90, 158	place parameters effect on,
place use, 89, 90, 111, 112,	145–150, 157–160
114	place quality
operational plans, 198	and place attachment, associa-
physical inventory of, 228	tion between, 173
socioeconomic characteristics,	socioeconomic characteristics
86-87, 155	of, 171
spatial characteristics of, $76-78$,	place use
155, 230	and place attachment, associa-
Literature review, 9–36	tion between, 173
Location, and spatial quality, 17	and place management, association between, 164, 173
Management issues, 3–4	and place quality, association
Master Plan for Open Spaces, 3, 13	between, 173
Master Plan-1968 Open Space, 55	socioeconomic characteristics
	of, 168–170
National Playing Fields Association	relationship with place
Standards (NPFA), 11	attachment, 161-163
Natural green space, definition of,	relationship with place
205-206	management, 163-164
Neighborhood(s)	relationship with place quality,
case study of, 45–47	161, 162
dense, 65	relationship with place use,
moderately, 65	160–161
low-density, 65–66	residents use of, 166–168
medium-density, 65–66	respondents, socioeconomic
Open Space	characteristics of, 166
See neighborhood Open Space	Sahid Nagar, 154, 156
Parks	Saileshree Vihar, 156–157
see neighborhood Parks	socioeconomic characteristics of,
selection, 38–39	153–154, 155
Neighborhood Open Space	spatial characteristics of,
Baramunda, 156, 157	153–154, 155
IRC Village, 156	status of, 180
level of determination, place	Unit IX, 154, 156
parameters effect on,	Neighborhood Parks, 1, 3, 10
176–177	case study of, 71–151
level of participation, 165–166	level of participation, 117–119
Lingaraj Nagar, 154, 156	place attachment
place attachment	and place management,
and place management, asso-	association between,
ciation between, 165, 176	114–117, 142–145

socioeconomic characteristics of, 128–130	socioeconomic characteristics, 85–88
place management, socioeco-	special characteristics, 76-85
nomic characteristics of, 130–133	see also neighborhood(s); Parks
place parameters	Occupation
dimensional structure of,	and place attachment, 129–130
71–76	and place management,
effect on level of participation,	131, 132
145-150	and place quality, 126, 127
place quality	and place use, 124, 125
and place attachment, associa-	Old Town Park
tion between, 139–142	place quality, 161
and place management,	Open Spaces, 1
association between, 114,	aims of, 6
142, 143	definition of, 205
socioeconomic characteristics	dimensions of, 45–46
of, 126–128	interview schedule, 210
place use	land ownership and, 67-69
and place attachment, associa-	limitations of, 7
tion between, 134–137	literature review, 9–36
and place management,	need of research, $4-5$
association between,	objectives of, $6-7$
111–114, 137–139, 140	Place-based Community
and place quality, association	Management of, 4
between, 133–134, 135	planning, factors
socioeconomic characteristics	determining, 11
of, 123–126	problem statement
relationship with place	management issues, $3-4$
attachment, 99-100,	planning issues, $2-3$
103–107, 108, 109	Public
relationship with place	see Public Open Space
management, 99–100,	questionnaire, 210-215
108–110, 111	rationale for, $5-6$
relationship with place quality,	approach, 6
99–100, 101–102, 105,	recreational, 45
106, 107	research background, 2
relationship with place use,	research gaps in, 32–33
99–101, 102, 103, 104,	research methodology, 37–48,
105	207
residents' response to place	scope of, 7
parameters, descriptive	theoretical framework for,
statistics of, 121–122,	33–36
123	Urban
respondents, socioeconomic	see Urban Open Space
characteristics of, 120	Operational plans, 198–199

Orissa Remote Sensing Application	dimension of, 35
Centre (ORSAC), 7, 38,	identification of, 73-74
40, 44, 58–60, 66, 221	IRC Village, 94, 95, 158, 116
Outdoor recreation settings,	effect on level of participation,
attributes of, 14–15	145–150, 189
Over-managed space, 10	Lingaraj Nagar, 89, 90, 115,
Ownership status	118, 165
and place attachment, 129, 130	measurement of, 42, 209
and place management, 131, 133	place dependence, 22–23,
and place quality, 127, 128	135–137, 140–142
and place use, 124, 125–126	place identity, 21–22, 135–137,
-	140-142
Parks, 2, 3–4	planning and policy implications
development and management	for, 188, 189
of, institutional set up for,	principal component analysis of
69-70	items for, 225–226
guidelines for, $61-62$	relationships and effects of,
improvement, and recreational	183–184, 185
activities, 17	relationship with areas, 189, 191
mini-, 14	relationship with neighborhood
Neighborhood Parks, 1, 3, 10	Open Space, 161–163
People-Park relationship, 16	relationship with neighborhood
physical inventory of, 228–229	Parks, 99–100,
Public Parks, 1, 14	103–107, 108, 109
social awareness toward ecologi-	relationship with place
cal responsibilities, 10	management, 32,
spatial quality, 17	115–118, 142–145, 165,
Participative attitude, 138–139,	176, 189, 191–192
144	relationship with place quality, 31,
Participatory management, 25–26,	139–142, 173, 189, 191
29	relationship with place use,
People's recreation behavior, effect	31–32, 134–137, 173,
on environmental quality,	174, 188, 190
17	residents, 167
People context, of Public Open	Sahid Nagar, 92, 93, 158,
Space management, 27	115–116, 118
People-Park relationship, 16	Saileshree Vihar, 96, 97, 116,
Peterborough City Council	117, 118, 158
Open Space strategy, standards	social bonding, 23, 135–137,
for, 12	140-142
Physical Quality assessment, 18	socioeconomic characteristics of,
Place attachment, 10–11, 20–23	128–130, 171–172, 189,
Baramunda, 97, 98, 116–117,	236
118	theoretical implications of, 190,
descriptive statistics of, 121-122	191-192
development of, 35	Unit IX, 91, 92, 115, 118, 165

Place-based Community	142–145, 165, 176, 189,
Management, of	191–192
neighborhood Open Space, 4, 33	relationship with place management, 142, 143
Place context, of Public Open Space	relationship with place quality,
management, 27–28	31, 191
Place dependence, 22–23,	relationship with place use,
135–137, 140–142	111–114, 137–139, 140
Place identity, 21–22, 135–137,	164, 173, 174, 188,
140–142	190–191
Place management, 23–31	residents, 167–168
Baramunda, 98, 99	Sahid Nagar, 93, 94, 158
citizen interface management	Saileshree Vihar, 96, 97
practice, cases of, 30–31	socioeconomic characteristics of,
community participation in city	130–133, 172, 236
environment improve-	theoretical implications of,
ment, 29–30	190–192
descriptive statistics of, 122, 123	Unit IX, 91, 92
development of, 35	Place quality
dimension of, 35	Baramunda, 97, 98
identification of, 74–75	descriptive statistics of, 121, 122
effect on level of participation,	development of, 35
145–150, 188	dimension of, 35
environmental behavior,	identification of, 72-73
24-25	effect on level of participation,
IRC Village, 94, 95, 158	145-150
Lingaraj Nagar, 89, 90	IRC Village, 94, 95, 158
measurement of, 42, 209-210	Lingaraj Nagar, 89, 90
participatory management,	measurement of, $41-42$, 208
25-26	planning and policy implications
place quality effect on, 114	for, 188, 189
planning and policy implications	principal component analysis of
for, 188	items for, 224–225
principal component analysis of	relationships and effects of, 181,
items for, 226–227	183
Public Open Space, management	relationship with areas, 191
of, 26–29	relationship with neighborhood
relationships and effects of, 184,	Open Space, 161, 162
186	relationship with neighborhood
relationship with neighborhood	Parks, 99–100,
Open Space, 163–164	101–102, 105, 106, 107
relationship with neighborhood	relationship with place attach-
Parks, 99–100,	ment, 31, 139–142, 173,
108–110, 111	189, 191
relationship with place attach-	relationship with place
ment, 32, 115–118,	management, 114, 142

relationship with place use, 31,	relationship with place quality,
133–134, 135, 173, 174,	31, 133–134, 135, 173,
188	174, 188
residents, 167	residents, 166
Sahid Nagar, 92, 93, 158	Sahid Nagar, 92, 93, 112, 113,
Saileshree Vihar, 94, 96, 97, 158	114, 154, 156, 158, 164
socioeconomic characteristics of,	Saileshree Vihar, 94, 96,
126–128, 171,	112–113, 114, 156–157,
191, 235	158, 164
theoretical implications of, 191	socioeconomic characteristics of,
Unit IX, 89, 91, 92	123–126, 168–170, 187,
Place use, 181	190, 234
Baramunda, 97, 98, 113-114,	theoretical implications of,
156, 157	190–191
descriptive statistics of, 121	Unit IX, 89, 91, 111–112, 114,
determination of, 150	154, 156, 164
development of, 35	Planning of Open Spaces
dimension of, 35	factors determining, 11
identification of, 72, 73	issues associated with, $2-3$
effect on level of participation,	Playgrounds, 2, 14
145–150, 191	Pro-Environmental Behavior (PEB),
IRC Village, 94, 95, 112, 113,	24-25
114, 156, 158, 164	Project for Public Spaces, 10
Lingaraj Nagar, 89, 90, 111,	Public Open Space
112, 114, 154, 156, 164	investment in, 14
measurement of, 41, 208	management of, 26-29
planning and policy implications	participatory practices, 29
for, 187–188	people context, 27
principal component analysis of	place context, 27–28
items for, 223–224	scale and degree (level) of
relationships and effects of, 181,	participation, 28–29
182	over-managed, 10
relationship with areas,	under-managed, 10
187, 190	use of
relationship with neighborhood	activity, 14–15
Open Space, 160–161	patterns of, $15-16$
relationship with neighborhood	place value, 16–17
Parks, 99–101, 102, 103,	Public Parks, 1
104, 105	<i>see also</i> Parks
relationship with place attach-	Public private partnership (PPP),
ment, $31-32$, $134-137$,	30-31
173, 174, 188, 190	
relationship with place manage-	Questionnaire, 210–215
ment, 111–114,	
137–139, 140, 164,	Recreational Open Spaces, 45
173, 188, 190–191	Research methodology, 37–48, 207

analysis	physical inventory of, 228
city profile and recreational	socioeconomic characteristics,
Open Spaces, 45	87, 155
neighborhoods, case study of,	spatial characteristics of, 79-80,
45-47	81, 155, 231
data collection, 44-45	Saileshree Vihar
measurement	neighborhood Open Space
level of participation, 43-44	place attachment, 156–157,
place attachment, 42	162
place management, 42	place management, 156-157,
place quality, 41-42	163
place use, 41	place quality, 156-157, 161,
sample	162
sample selection, 40	place use, 156–157
sample size, 39–40	neighborhood Parks
study areas, 37–39	level of participation, 118,
neighborhood selection,	119, 120
38-39	place attachment, 96, 97, 116,
Residential Welfare Associations	117, 118, 158
(RWA), 7, 30, 44, 70, 83,	place management, 96, 97,
84, 157, 158, 160, 162,	112–113, 114, 116, 117,
163, 181, 184, 185, 196,	118, 158
198, 199	place quality, 94, 96, 97, 158
Rootedness, 21	place use, 94, 96, 112-113,
	114, 158
Sahid Nagar	operational plans, 199
neighborhood Open Space	physical inventory of, 229
place attachment, 154, 156,	socioeconomic characteristics,
162	88, 155
place management, 154, 156,	spatial characteristics of, 83–84,
163	85, 155, 232–233
place quality, 154, 156, 161,	Self-definition, 21
162	Self-identity, 21
place use, 154, 156	Sense of community, 1, 15, 20, 23,
neighborhood Parks	54
level of participation, 118,	Sense of place, 5, 6, 9, 20, 25, 28,
119, 120	92, 94, 97, 151, 154,
place attachment, 92, 93,	157, 157, 162, 163, 172,
115–116, 118, 158	175, 181
place management, 93, 94,	74th Constitution Amendment Act.
112, 113, 114, 115–116,	1992, 29
118, 158	Six-Acre Standard, 11
place quality, 92, 93, 158	Social bonding, 23, 135–137,
place use, 92, 93, 112, 113,	140–142
114, 158	definition of, 206
operational plans, 199	Social justice, 2

operational plans, 198-199
physical inventory of, 228
socioeconomic characteristics,
87, 155
spatial characteristics of, 78-79
80, 155, 230–231
Urban Development Plan
Formulation and
Implementation (UDPFI),
13
guidelines, for Parks, 61
Urban Local Bodies (ULB), 2-4,
12, 29
Urban Open Space, 1, 2, 9, 26
definition of, 205
guidelines for
Indian, 12–13
international, 11–12
land use regulation for, $55-58$
management of, 27
Master Plan for, 13
planning issues, 3
population and, 64–66
rationale for, 5, 6
status of, $58-61$, 180
see also Open Spaces
Urban plazas, 14
*** 1 1
Visual quality assessment,
18–19
XXX 1 · 1 · 1 · 1
Ward-wise population density and
Open Space, 220–221
Water Framework Directives
(WFD), 28
World Health Organization
(WHO)
Open Space strategy, standards
for, 12