

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Abbasi, A., Wigand, R., & Liaquat, H. (2014). *Measuring social capital through network analysis and its influence on individual performance* (pp. 66–73). Elsevier.
- Adnan, S. (Ed.). (1992). *People's participation, NGOs and the flood action plan: An independent review*. Oxfam.
- Agrawal, A., & Gupta, K. (2005). Decentralization and participation: The governance of common pool resources in Nepal's Terai. *World Development*, 33(7), 1101–1114.
- Agulnik, P., Hills, J., Le, G. J., & Piachaud, D. (2002). *Understanding social exclusion*. Oxford University Press.
- Arnstein, S. R. (1969). A ladder of citizen participation. *Journal of the American Institute of Planners*, 35(4), 216–224. Taylor and Francis. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01944366908977225>
- Aziiza, A. A., & Susanto, T. D. (2020). The smart village model for rural area (case study: Banyuwangi regency). *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 722, 012011. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/722/1/012011>
- Bakker, T. P., & de Vreese, C. H. (2011). Good news for the future? Young people, internet use, and political participation. *Communication Research*, 38(4), 451–470. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0093650210381738>
- Bamberger, M. (1991). The importance of community participation. *Public Administration and Development*, 11, 281–284. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pad.4230110317>
- Bamberg, J. (2012). *Shaping places online: Exploring the potential of the internet for public engagement in spatial local governance*.

- Banerjee, A., & Munshi, K. (2004). How efficiently is capital allocated? Evidence from the knitted garment industry in Tirupur. *The Review of Economic Studies*, 71, 19–42.
- Bannister, F., & Connolly, R. (2012). Defining e-governance. *E-Service Journal*, 8(2), 3–25. <https://doi.org/10.2979/eservicej.8.2.3>
- Bannister, F., & Walsh, N. (2002). The virtual public servant: Ireland's public services broker. *Information Polity*, 7(2–3), 115–127.
- Barrett, M., & Brunton-Smith, I. (2014). Political and civic engagement and participation: Towards an integrative perspective. *Journal of Civil Society*, 10(1), 5–28. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17448689.2013.871911>
- Barrett, M., & Zani, B. (2015). Political and civic engagement: Theoretical understandings, evidence and policies. In *Political and civic engagement: Multidisciplinary perspectives* (pp. 3–25). Routledge.
- Baud, I. (2016). Digitisation and participation in urban governance: The contribution of ICT-based spatial knowledge management in Indian cities. In G. M. Gómez & P. Knorringa (Eds.), *Local governance, economic development and institutions* (pp. 86–97). Palgrave Macmillan UK. [https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137557599\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137557599_5)
- Bennett, W. L., & Pfetsch, B. (2018). Rethinking political communication in a time of disrupted public spheres. *Journal of Communication*, 68(2), 243–253. <https://doi.org/10.1093/joc/jqx017>
- Bhatia, R. (2016, January 22). “We don’t need IT here”: The inside story of India’s smart city gold rush. *The Guardian*. <https://www.theguardian.com/cities/2016/jan/22/inside-story-india-smart-city-gold-rush-it>
- Biggs, S. D. (1989). *Resource-poor farmer participation in research: A synthesis of experiences from national agricultural research systems*. OFCOR, comparative Study No. 3. International Service for National Agricultural Research, The Hague, The Netherlands.
- Bimber, B. (1999). The internet and citizen communication with government: Does the medium matter? *Political Communication*, 16(4), 409–428. <https://doi.org/10.1080/105846099198569>
- Bimber, B. (2006). The internet and political transformation: Populism, community, and accelerated pluralism. *Polity*, 31(1), 133–160. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3235370>

- Bimber, B., & Jorba, L. (2010). The impact of digital media on citizenship in a global perspective. *Comparing Digital Politics* (pp. 21–70).
- Bisilliat, J. (2001). *Introducing the gender perspective in national essential drug programmes*. World Health Organization. <http://apps.who.int/medicinedocs/pdf/h2997e/h2997e.pdf>. Accessed on January 1, 2016.
- Bourdieu, P. (1986). The forms of capital. In J. G. Richardson (Ed.), *Handbook of theory and research for the sociology of education*. Greenwood Publication.
- Buis, H. (2009). The role of local government associations in increasing the effectiveness of city-to-city cooperation. *Habitat International*, 33(2), 190–194. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.habitatint.2008.10.017>
- Burchardt, T., Le Grand, J., & Piachaud, D. (1999). Social exclusion in Britain 1991—1995. *Social Policy & Administration*, 33, 227–244. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9515.00148>
- Burt, R. S. (2000). The network structure of social capital. *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 22, 345–423. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-3085\(00\)22009-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-3085(00)22009-1)
- Chambers, R. (1983). *Rural development: Putting the last first*. London Longman.
- Chambers, R. (1994). The origins and practice of participatory rural appraisal. *World Development*, 22(7), 953–969. [http://doi.org/10.1016/0305-750X\(94\)90141-4](http://doi.org/10.1016/0305-750X(94)90141-4)
- Chambers, R. (2007). *Ideas for development*. Institute of Development Studies.
- Chatopadhyay, R., & Duflo, E. (2004). Impact of reservation in Panchayati Raj: Evidence from a nationwide randomised experiment. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 979–986.
- Chopra, K. R., Kadekodi, G. K., & Murty, M. N. (1990). *Participatory development: People and common property resources*. Sage Publications. <http://www.loc.gov/catdir/enhancements/fy0657/90902901-t.html>
- Cobo, M. J., López-Herrera, A. G., Herrera-Viedma, E., & Herrera, F. (2011). An approach for detecting, quantifying, and visualizing the evolution of a research field: A practical application to the fuzzy sets theory field. *Journal of Informetrics*, 5(1), 146–66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joi.2010.10.002>.

- Cohen, J., & Uphoff, N. T. (1977). *Rural development participation: Concepts and measures for project design, implementation and evaluation*. Cornell University.
- Coleman, J. (1988). Social capital in the creation of human capital. *American journal of sociology*, 94, S95–S120.
- Conyers, D. (1986). Decentralisation and development: A framework for analysis. *Community Development Journal*, 21(2), 88–100. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/44256572>
- Cooke, B., & Kothari, U. (2001). *Participation: The new tyranny?* Zed Books.
- Cook, K., Lin, N., & Burt, R. S. (2001). *Social capital: Theory and research*. Aldine de Gruyter.
- Courtial, J. P. (1994). A coword analysis of scientometrics. *Scientometrics*, 31, 251–260.
- Crook, R. C. (2003). Decentralization and poverty reduction in central Africa. *Public Administration and Development*, 23, 77–88. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pad.261>. Published online in Wiley Inter Science. [www.interscience.wiley.com](http://www.interscience.wiley.com)
- Cvar, N., Trilar, J., Kos, A., Volk, M., & Stojmenova Duh, E. (2020). The use of IoT technology in smart cities and smart villages: Similarities, differences, and future prospects. *Sensors*, 20(14), 3897. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s20143897>
- Davies, R. 2015. *eGovernment: Using technology to improve public service and democratic participation*. [https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/IDAN/2015/565890/EPRS\\_IDA\(2015\)565890\\_EN.pdf](https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/IDAN/2015/565890/EPRS_IDA(2015)565890_EN.pdf)
- Derviş, H. (2020). Bibliometric analysis using bibliometrix an R package. *Journal of Scientometric Research*, 8(3), 156–160. <https://doi.org/10.5530/jscires.8.3.32>
- Devolution Report, Ministry of Panchayati Raj. (2015). <http://www.panchayat.gov.in/documents/10198/378720/devolution.pdf>
- Dreze, J., & Sen, A. (2002). *India development and participation*. Oxford University Press.
- Dutoya, V., & Sintomer, Y. (2019). Defining women's representation: Debates around gender quotas in India and France. *Politics and Governance*, 7(3), 124–136. <https://doi.org/10.17645/pag.v7i3.2130>

- Economic Commission for Latin America. (1973). United Nations. <https://digitallibrary.un.org/record/859490?ln=en>
- Efindic, A., Bojana, B., & Rebmann, A. (2017). *Social capital, migration, ethnic diversity and economic performance multidisciplinary evidence from South-East Europe*. Peter Lang Publication.
- Fallavier, P. (2007). *Participation as an end versus a means: Understanding a recurring dilemma in urban upgrading*. Ph.D. dissertation, Massachusetts Institute of Technology. [https://www.academia.edu/201498/Fallavier\\_P.\\_2007\\_.Participation\\_as\\_an\\_end\\_versus\\_a\\_means\\_understanding\\_a\\_recurring\\_dilemma\\_in\\_urban\\_upgrading\\_.Ph.D.\\_Dissertation\\_Massachusetts\\_Institute\\_of\\_Technology\\_Cambridge\\_MA](https://www.academia.edu/201498/Fallavier_P._2007_.Participation_as_an_end_versus_a_means_understanding_a_recurring_dilemma_in_urban_upgrading_.Ph.D._Dissertation_Massachusetts_Institute_of_Technology_Cambridge_MA)
- FAO. (2012). *Policy on gender equality: Attaining food security goals in agriculture and rural development*. [http://www.fao.org/fileadmin/templates/gender/docs/FAO\\_FinalGender\\_Policy\\_2012.pdf](http://www.fao.org/fileadmin/templates/gender/docs/FAO_FinalGender_Policy_2012.pdf). Accessed on October 2016.
- Field, J. (2003). *Social capital*. Taylor & Francis Group Publications.
- George, M. (2001). Panchayat elections: Dismal record. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 36(3), 183–184. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4410188>
- Ghorpade, M. Y. (2002). Strengthening gram panchayat. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 37(38), 3889–3890. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4412628>
- Girvan, N., & Girvan, C. (1973). The development of dependency economics in the Caribbean and Latin America: Review and comparison. *Social and Economic Studies*, 22(1), 1–33. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/27856558>
- Goel, R. K., & Nelson, M. A. (2005). Economic freedom versus political freedom: cross-country influences on corruption. *Australian Economic Papers*, 44, 121–133. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8454.2005.00253.x>
- Granovetter, M. S. (1973). The strength of weak ties. *Sage*, 78, 1360–1380.
- GSMA. (2021). *The mobile economy*. [https://www.gsma.com/mobileconomy/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/GSMA\\_MobileEconomy2021\\_3.pdf](https://www.gsma.com/mobileconomy/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/GSMA_MobileEconomy2021_3.pdf)
- Guijt, I., & Shah, M. K. (Eds.). (1998). *The myth of community: Gender issues in participatory development*. Intermediate Technology Publications.
- HDR. (2002). *Human Development Report 2002*. UNDP (United Nations Development Programme). <https://hdr.undp.org/content/human-development-report-2002>. Accessed on May 20, 2016.

- Heeks, R., & *Understanding e-Governance for Development* (February 18, 2001). iGovernment Working Paper no. 11. <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3540058>. <http://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3540058>
- Hettne, B. (1983). The development of development theory. *Acta Sociologica*, 26(3–4), 247–266. <https://doi.org/10.1177/000169938302600303>
- Hickey, S., & Mohan, G. (Eds.). (2004). *Participation: From Tyranny to transformation? Exploring new approaches to participation*. Zed Books.
- Hickey, S., & Mohan, G. (2005). Relocating participation within a radical politics of development. *Development and Change*, 36(2), 237–262. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0012-155X.2005.00410.x>
- Hoe, K. C., Abd Wahab, H., Bakar, S. H. A., & Islam, M. R. (2018). Community participation for rural poverty alleviation: A case of the Iban community in Malaysia. *International Social Work*, 61(4), 518–536. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020872816673890>
- Hootsuite and We Are Social. (2019). Digital 2019 global digital overview. <https://www.hootsuite.com/resources/digital-trends>
- Hornby, A. S., & Cowie, A. P. (1993). *Oxford advanced learner's dictionary of current English*. Oxford University Press.
- Huckfeldt, R. (2001). The social communication of political expertise. *American Journal of Political Science*, 45(2), 425–438. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2669350>
- International Telecom Union. (2021). *Measuring digital development*. <https://www.itu.int/itu-d/reports/statistics/facts-figures-2021/>
- Islam, M. R. (2014). Non-governmental organizations' role for social capital and community empowerment in community development: Experience from Bangladesh. *Asian Social Work and Policy Review*, 8(3), 261–274. <https://doi.org/10.1111/aswp.12039>
- JARKKO BAMBERG. (2012). *Shaping places online exploring the potential of the Internet for public engagement in spatial local governance [University of Tampere]*. <https://trepo.tuni.fi/bitstream/handle/10024/66883/978-951-44-8762-0.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=>
- Kanji, N., & Greenwood, L. (2001). *Participatory approaches to research and development in IIED: Learning from experience*. IIED.

- Kapoor, K. K., Tamilmani, K., Rana, N. P., Patil, P., Dwivedi, Y. K., & Nerur, S. (2018). Advances in social media research: Past, present and future. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 20(3), 531–558. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-017-9810-y>
- Khan, S., Taraporevala, P., & Zerah, M.-H. (2018). Mission impossible: Defining Indian smart cities. *Economic and Political Weekly*. <https://login.proxy.lib.duke.edu/login?url=https://www-proquest-com.proxy.lib.duke.edu/magazines/mission-impossible-defining-indian-smart-cities/docview/2159989769/se-2?accountid=10598>
- Khera, R. (2005). Bringing grass roots democracy to life: MKSS campaign in Rajasthan. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 40(8), 725–728. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4416230>
- Khwaja, A. I. (2004). Is increasing community participation always a good thing? *Journal of the European Economic Association*, 427–436.
- Kopczewska, K. (2013). The spatial range of local governments: Does geographical distance affect governance and public service? *The Annals of Regional Science*, 51(3), 793–810. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00168-013-0567-z>
- Kothari, U. (2001). Power, knowledge and social control in participatory development. In B. Cooke & U. Kothari (Eds.), *Participation: The new tyranny?* (pp. 139–152). Zed Books.
- Lane, M. B. (2005). Public participation in planning: An intellectual history. *Australian Geographer*, 36(3), 283–299. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00049180500325694>
- Lin, N. (1999). Social networks and status attainment. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 25, 467–487.
- Lisk, F. (1985). *Popular participation in planning for basic needs: Concepts, methods, and practices*. St. Martin's Press.
- Lowndes, V., & Wilson, D. (2001). Social capital and local governance: Exploring the institutional design variable. *Political Studies*, 49(4), 629–647. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9248.00334>
- Madon, S. (2009). *E-governance for development: A focus on rural India*. Palgrave Macmillan. ISBN 9780230201576.
- Maiti, D., Castellacci, F., & Melchior, A. (2020). Digitalisation and development: Issues for India and beyond. In D. Maiti, F. Castellacci, & A.

- Melchior (Eds.), *Digitalisation and development* (pp. 3–29). Springer Singapore. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-9996-1\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-9996-1_1)
- Mansuri, G., & Rao, V. (2009). *Can participation be induced? Some evidence from developing countries*. Working Paper Series 6139. World Bank.
- Mansuri, G., & Rao, V. (2012). *Localizing development: Does participation work?* World Bank Policy Research Report.
- Mathur, H. M. (1986). *Administering development in the third world: Constraints and choices*. Sage Publications.
- Mayoux, L. (2001). Tackling the down side: Social capital, women's empowerment and micro-finance in Cameroon. *Development and Change*, 32, 435–464.
- McCann, P., & Ortega-Argilés, R. (2015). Smart specialization, regional growth and applications to European union cohesion policy. *Regional Studies*, 49(8), 1291–1302. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00343404.2013.799769>
- McAdam, D., & Paulsen, R. (1993). Specifying the relationship between social ties and activism. *American Journal of Sociology*, 99(3), 640–667. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2781286>
- McClurg, S. D. (2004). Indirect mobilization: The social consequences of party contacts in election campaign. *American Politics Research*, 32(4), 406–443.
- McPherson J. M. (1981). A dynamic model of voluntary affiliation. *Social Forces*, 59, 705–721.
- McPherson, J. M., Popielarz, P. A., & Drobnic, S. (1992). Social networks and organizational dynamics. *American Sociological Review*, 57(2), 153–170. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2096202>
- Mendel, M. (2019). The spatial ways democracy works: On the pedagogy of common places. Why, why now? *Research in Education*, 103(1), 5–18. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0034523719839743>
- Midgley, G., & Milne, A. (1995). Creating employment opportunities for people with mental health problems: A feasibility study for new initiatives. *The Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 46, 35–42.
- Moeliono, M., Pham, T. T., Le, N. D., Brockhaus, M., Wong, G., Kallio, M., & Nguyen, D. T. (2016). Local governance, social networks and REDD+:

- Lessons from Swidden communities in Vietnam. *Human Ecology*, 44(4), 435–448. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10745-016-9839-4>
- Mohan, G., & Stokke, K. (2000). Participatory development and empowerment: The dangers of localism. *Third World Quarterly*, 21(2), 247–268.
- Mpolokeng, P. G. (2003). People's participation in rural development: The examples from Mafikeng African journal of political science/revue. *Africaine de Science Politique*, 8(2), 55–86.
- Mutz, D. C. (2002). The consequences of cross-cutting networks for political participation. *American Journal of Political Science*, 46(4), 838–855. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3088437>
- NACO. (2009). Annual report. Department of AIDS Control, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. [http://naco.gov.in/sites/default/files/NACO\\_AR\\_English%202009-10\\_NEW.pdf](http://naco.gov.in/sites/default/files/NACO_AR_English%202009-10_NEW.pdf)
- Nelasco, S. (2010). *Status of women in India*. Deep & Deep Publications
- Nientied, P., Mhenni, S. B., & de Wit, J. (1990). Community participation in low-income housing policies: Potential or paradox. *Community Development Journal*, 25(1), 42–55. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/44256849>
- Okley, P. (1991). The concept of participation in development. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 20(1–3), 115–122. Elsevier Science Publishers. [http://ac.els-cdn.com/016920469190100Z/1-s2.0-016920469190100Z-main.pdf?\\_tid=58c9303e-268e-11e6-8067-00000aab0f6c&acdnat=1464630529\\_0c852b8bef88cae7fba0f14ae66504b](http://ac.els-cdn.com/016920469190100Z/1-s2.0-016920469190100Z-main.pdf?_tid=58c9303e-268e-11e6-8067-00000aab0f6c&acdnat=1464630529_0c852b8bef88cae7fba0f14ae66504b). Accessed on November 6, 2015.
- Olujimi, B., & Egunjobi, L. (1991). Public participation in a village regrouping scheme. *Community Development Journal*, 26(3), 165–171.
- OÓkot-Uma, R. W. (2000). Electronic governance: Re-inventing good governance. <https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.197.6576&rep=rep1&type=pdf>
- Pandebesie, E. S., Indrihastuti, I., Wilujeng, S. A., & Warmadewanthy, I. (2019). Factors influencing community participation in the management of household electronic waste in West Surabaya, Indonesia. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 26(27), 27930–27939. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-05812-9>
- Parfitt, T. (2004). The ambiguity of participation: A qualified defence of participatory development. *Third World Quarterly*, 25(3), 537–556.

- Paul, S. (1987). Community participation and development projects. <http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/850911468766244486/pdf/multi-page.pdf>
- Pawar, M. (2014). *Social and community development practice*. Sage Publication Pvt. Ltd.
- Pearse, A. C., Stiefel, M., United Nations Research Institute for Social Development., & Popular Participation Programme (United Nations Research Institute for Social Development). (1979). *Inquiry into participation: A research approach*. United Nations Research Institute for Social Development.
- Pijnenburg, B., & Nhantumbo, I. (2002). Participatory development interventions in Mozambique. *Development in Practice*, 12(2), 192–199.
- Polat, R. K. (2005). The internet and political participation: Exploring the explanatory links. *European Journal of Communication*, 20(4), 435–459. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0267323105058251>
- Pretty, J. (1995). Participatory learning for sustainable agriculture. *World Development*, 23(8), 1247–1263.
- Putnam, R. D. (2000). Bowling alone: America's declining social capital. In L. Crothers & C. Lockhart (Eds.), *Culture and politics* (pp. 223–234). Palgrave Macmillan.
- Raghunandan, T. R. (Ed.). (2012). *Decentralisation and local governments*. Orient Black Swan Publications.
- Ragnedda, M. (2020). Defining digital capital. In M. Ragnedda (Ed.), *Digital capital* (pp. 9–38). Emerald Publishing Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-83909-550-420201002>
- Rahnema. (1997). Participation. In W. Sachs (Ed.), *The development dictionary: A guide to knowledge as power*. Orient Longman. (originally published by Zed Books, 1992).
- Ravallion, M. (2012). *Mashup indices of development*. Oxford University Press on behalf of the World Bank. <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/15345License:CCBY-NC-ND3.0IGO>
- Robins, R. (1967). Political elite formation in rural India: The Uttar Pradesh panchayat elections of 1949, 1956, and 1961. *The Journal of Politics*, 29(4), 838–860. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2128765>

- Sanyal, P. (2009). From credit to collective action: The role of microfinance in promoting women's social capital and normative influence. *American Sociological Review*, 74(4), 529–550.
- Sanyal, P., & Rao, V. (2018). *Oral democracy: Deliberation in Indian village assemblies* (1st ed.). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781139095716>
- Schneider, H., & Libercier, M.-H., *Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, Development Centre, Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, & Development Assistance Committee*. (1995). Participatory development: From advocacy to action. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development; OECD Publications and Information Center, distributor.
- Sellers, T. (1997). Building community capacity: Hull and east yorkshire PRA network. *Gender and Development*, 5(3), 58–60. On behalf of Oxfam GB Taylor & Francis Ltd.
- Sellers, T. (1997). Building community capacity: Hull and east Yorkshire PRA network. *Gender and Development*, 5(3), 58–60. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4030400>
- Selwyn, N., Gorard, S., & Williams, S. (2001). Digital divide or digital opportunity? The role of technology in overcoming social exclusion in U.S. education. *Educational Policy*, 15(2), 258–277. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0895904801015002002>
- Sen, A. (1999). *Development as freedom*. Oxford University Press.
- Sharma, M. (2001). Making of a panchayat election. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 36(19), 1577–1581. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4410595>
- Sharma, A. K. (2010). A baseline study to assess training needs of elected representatives of PRIs in Himachal Pradesh. Government of Himachal Pradesh (Department of Panchayati Raj & Department of Irrigation and Public Health) & Deutsche Gesellschaft für Technische Zusammenarbeit (GTZ).
- Sheng, Y. K. (1990). Community participation in low income housing projects: Problems and prospects. *Community Development Journal*, 25(1), 56–65. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cdj/25.1.56>

- Sheridan, W., & Riley, T. B. (2006). *Comparing E-government vs. E-governance*. eGov Monitor. Knowledge Asset Management Limited.
- Siegel, D. A. (2009). Social networks and collective action. *American Journal of Political Science*, 53(1), 122–138. Midwest Political Science Association.
- Silver, H., & Miller, S. M. (2003). Social exclusion. *Indicators*, 2, 5–21. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15357449.2003.11069166>
- Singh, M. K. (2018). *Gender and women empowerment approaches: Interventions through PRIs and CSOs in Northern India*. In *Women's studies international forum* (Vol. 71, pp. 63–67), Elsevier.
- Singh, M. (2021). Social capital, socioeconomic status (gender+), peer networks and community participation in local (democracy) governance of Indian villages [data set]. Harvard Dataverse. <https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/AWEPYZ>
- Singh, P. K., & Chudasama, H. (2020). Evaluating poverty alleviation strategies in a developing country. *PLoS One*, 15(1), e0227176. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0227176>
- Singh, M. K., & Moody, J. (2021). Do social capital and networks facilitate community participation? *International Journal of Sociology & Social Policy*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSSP-01-2021-0022>
- Skoric, M. M., Zhu, Q., Goh, D., & Pang, N. (2016). Social media and citizen engagement: A meta-analytic review. *New Media & Society*, 18(9), 1817–1839. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444815616221>
- Soja, E. W. (2009). The city and spatial justice. <https://www.jssj.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/12/JSSJ1-1en4.pdf>
- Srinivasan, R. (2017, October). Smart cities? Don't make me laugh. *The Hindu*. <https://www.thehindu.com/opinion/columns/smart-cities-dont-make-me-laugh/article19897715.ece>
- Statcounter. (2020). Social media stats worldwide—September 2020. Social Media Stats. <https://gs.statcounter.com/social-media-stats>
- State Election Commission. (2015). <http://sec.up.nic.in/>. Accessed on October 20, 2016.

- State Finance Commission Report. (2014). <http://sfc.up.nic.in/FourthSFC.aspx>
- Stern, M. J., & Dillman, D. A. (2006). Community participation, social ties, and use of the internet. *City & Community*, 5(4), 409–424. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6040.2006.00191.x>
- Stiefel, M., & Wolfe, M. (1994). *A voice for the excluded: Popular participation in development: Utopia or necessity?* Zed Books.
- Stiglitz, J. E. (2002). Participation and development: Perspective from the comprehensive development paradigm. *Review of Development Economics*, 6(2), 163–182.
- Strohmaier, R., Schuetz, M., & Vannuccini, S. M. (2019). A systemic perspective on socioeconomic transformation in the digital age. *Journal of Industrial Business Economics*, 46, 361–378. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40812-019-00124-y>
- Swanepoel, H. (1992). *Community development: Putting plans into action.* Juta.
- Tiernan, C., Lysack, C., Neufeld, S., & Lichtenberg, P. A. (2013). Community engagement: An essential component of well-being in older African-American adults. *The International Journal of Aging and Human Development*, 77(3), 233–257. <https://doi.org/10.2190/AG.77.3.d>
- Tucker, J. A., Guess, A., Barberá, P., Vaccari, C., Siegel, A., Sanovich, S., Stukal, D., & Nyhan, B. (2018). Información sobre las tortugas. 1–95. Infoanimales.Com. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3144139>
- Turner, J. F. C. (1976). *Housing by people: Towards autonomy in building environments* (pp. 124–140). Marion Boyars Publication.
- Udupa, S. (2018). Gaali cultures: The politics of abusive exchange on social media. *New Media & Society*, 20(4), 1506–1522. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444817698776>
- UN. (2014). *Gender equality strategy 2014–2017 2012*. <http://www.undp.org/content/dam/undp/library/gender/GenderEqualityStrategy2014-17.pdf>. Accessed on January 11, 2017
- UN. (2020). Social media. <https://news.un.org/en/tags/social-media>
- UNAIDS. (2015). Global AIDS response progress reporting 2015. [https://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media\\_asset/JC2702\\_GARPR2015guidelines\\_en.pdf](https://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media_asset/JC2702_GARPR2015guidelines_en.pdf)

- Vaddiraju, A. K., & Mehrotra, S. (2004). Making panchayats accountable. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 39(37), 4139–4141. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4415527>
- Visvizi, A., Lytras, M. D., & Mudri, G. (Eds.). (2019). *Smart villages in the EU and beyond*. Emerald Publishing Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1108/9781787698451>
- Wang, H., Cai, T., Mou, Y., & Shi, F. (2018). Traditional resources, internet resources, and youth online political participation: The resource theory revisited in the Chinese context. *Chinese Sociological Review*, 50(2), 115–136. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21620555.2017.1341813>
- Ward, P. (2010). Participatory development in Jamaica: Does it work in practice? *Social and Economic Studies*, 59(4), 167–196. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/41803732>
- Warm, D. (2011). Local government collaboration for a new decade: Risk, trust, and effectiveness. *State and Local Government Review*, 43(1), 60–65. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0160323X11400436>
- WB. (2004). World development report. <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/bitstream/handle/10986/5986/WDR%202004%20-%20English.pdf>
- White, S. (1996). Depoliticising development: The uses and abuses of participation. *Development in Practice*, 6(1), 6–15.
- Williams, G., Srivastava, M., Corbridge, S., & Veron, R. (2003). Enhancing pro-poor governance in Eastern India: Participation, politics and action research. *Progress in Development Studies*, 3(2), 159–178.
- Wilson, J., & Musick, M. A. (1997). Who cares? Toward an integrated theory of volunteer work. *American Sociological Review*, 62, 694–713.
- Wohlers, T. E., & Bernier, L. L. (2016). *Setting sail into the age of digital local government: Trends and best practices* (1st ed.). Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4899-7665-9>
- Wolski, O., & Wójcik, M. (2019). Smart villages revisited: Conceptual background and new challenges at the local level. In A. Visvizi, M. D. Lytras, & G. Mudri (Eds.), *Smart villages in the EU and beyond* (pp. 29–48). Emerald Publishing Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-78769-845-120191004>

## FURTHER READING

<http://www.ilo.org/public/english/standards/relm/gb/docs/gb264/tc-2c.htm>

<http://www.mcgill.ca/files/mchg/chapter2.pdf>

<http://www.participatorymethods.org/method/levels-participation>

[http://workspace.unpan.org/sites/Internet/Documents/CESAQ\\_Questionnaire\\_English\\_revised\\_12Dec2014.docx.pdf](http://workspace.unpan.org/sites/Internet/Documents/CESAQ_Questionnaire_English_revised_12Dec2014.docx.pdf)

<http://siteresources.worldbank.org/INTUSWM/Resources/463617-1202332338898/socialassesstoolkit.pdf>

<http://envfor.nic.in/divisions/iass/emcb/Chapter4.PDF>

<http://pib.nic.in/newsite/PrintRelease.aspx?relid=113778>

<http://www.thehindu.com/todays-paper/tp-national/tp-karnataka/release-honorarium-of-gram-panchayat-members-mlc/article5194723.ece>